



## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/683,685 02/03/2002		Aleksandar Susnjar	; ;	3106	
30379	7590	02/02/2004		EXAMINER	
ALEKSAN	DAR SUS	NJAR	VERBRUGGE, KEVIN		
25 SAINTSI MARKHAM	- <del>-</del>	_	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANADA				2188	
				DATE MAILED: 02/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/683,685	SUSNJAR, ALEKSANDAR				
Onice Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Kevin Verbrugge	2188				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 D</u>	ecember 2003					
<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the firm 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the service of the serv	is have been received. Its have been received in Applicate rity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In of the certified copies not receive ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1190 st sentence of the specification of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 poissional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissional application priority u	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. d) and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _</li> </ol>	5) D Notice of Informal	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/683,685

Art Unit: 2188

## Response to Amendment

The reply filed on 12/23/03 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): Applicant did not elect a group of claims to be prosecuted. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

The previous Office action contained a restriction requirement which Applicant may traverse (argue), but an election must be made for Applicant's response to be complete. Once an election is made, the Examiner will consider the Applicant's traversal (arguments). If the restriction requirement is maintained, it will be made final, and an action will be issued on the elected claims. 37 CFR 1.143 is reprinted below (emphasis added):

## § 1.143 Reconsideration of requirement.

If the applicant disagrees with the requirement for restriction, he may request reconsideration and withdrawal or modification of the requirement, giving the reasons therefor. (See § 1.111). In requesting reconsideration the applicant must indicate a provisional election of one invention for prosecution, which invention shall be the one elected in the event the requirement becomes final. The requirement for restriction will be reconsidered on such a request. If the requirement is repeated and made final, the examiner will at the same time act on the claims to the invention elected.

Application/Control Number: 09/683,685

Art Unit: 2188

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning a communication from the Examiner should be directed to the Examiner by phone at (703) 308-6663.

Any response to this action should be labeled appropriately (serial number, Art Unit 2188, and After-Final, Official, or Draft) and mailed to Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, faxed to (703) 872-9306, or delivered to Crystal Park 2, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 4th Floor Receptionist.

Kevin Verbrugge Primary Examiner

1/29/04